



September 22, 2003

Application Number: 09/629,749

Application Filed: 07/31/00

Applicant: Durham Russell Maples, Camden, SC;

Application Title: A Method for Enhancing the Equity of a Business Entity

Examiner: Pierre Eddy Elisca

Dear Commissioner for Patent Issue Fees:

Please forward the following enclosed amendments to Examiner Elisca and the enclosed draftperson letter and enclosed amended drawing to the appropriate person. Please take note of the Issue Form and the \$650.00 check enclosed.

Amendment G

1. Examiner seemed to have accepted only the independent claims 60-62 due to the mistaken way that the Applicant had submitted the corrected claims. The Examiner had agreed to 10 claims, 3 independent claims and 7 dependent claims in the phone discussion. The Applicant made an error by sending only the 3 independent claims in the amendment F because they were the only claims that required a correction. The Applicant misunderstood the by phone correction procedure. The Applicant thought the Examiner was seeking an amendment to only 3 claims and to help the Examiner out and to correct a typo error the Applicant sent in new claims. The amended claims numbers were changed to 60-62 but the Applicant did not change the numbers on the 7 dependent claims to correspond to this change and did not send them in with amendment F because they required no correction within the claims themselves. The Applicant apologizes for this error and requests that the Examiner add the new claims 63-70 to the application.
2. The Applicant has sent along the amended drawings and the letter to the draftperson.

RECEIVED
MAR 26 2004
GROUP 3600



3621
X/ND X/ND
RECEIVED
MAR 26 2004
GROUP 3600

March 11, 2004

Application Number: 09/629,749

Application Filed: 07/31/00

Applicant: Durham Russell Maples, Camden, SC;

Application Title: A Method for Enhancing the Equity of a Business Entity

Art Unit: 3621

Examiner: Pierre Eddy Elisca

Dear Examiner:

Amendment G

1. The Applicant had previously submitted the corrected replacement drawing and the transmittal letter addressed to the Draftperson. The drawing has evidently been misplaced so the Applicant is re-submitting the drawing and transmittal letter with an updated transmittal letter to the Draftperson. The Applicant is sending a copy of the certificate of mailing dated September 23, 2003 to the Mail Stop Issue Fee, Commissioner for Patents, for verification.
2. The Applicant had sent the corrected drawing in September 23, 2003. The Applicant had also sent to the same address claim amendments and the Applicant is unsure if the Examiner has received the previous amendment G now that the loss of the drawing has come to the Applicant's attention. If the Examiner has received the amendment G previously then please disregard the claim amendments enclosed.
3. The Examiner seems to have accepted only the independent claims 60-62 due to the mistaken way that the Applicant had submitted the corrected claims. The Examiner had agreed to 10 claims, 3 independent claims and 7 dependent claims in a phone discussion. The Applicant made an error by sending only the 3 independent claims in the amendment F because they were the only claims that required a correction. The Applicant misunderstood the correction by phone procedure. The Applicant thought the Examiner was seeking an amendment to only 3 claims and to help the Examiner out and to correct a typo error the Applicant sent new claims. The amended claims numbers were changed to 60-62 but the Applicant did not change the numbers on the 7 dependent claims to correspond to this change and did not send them in with amendment F because they required no correction within the claims themselves.
4. These amended claims were contained in the same mailing on September 23, 2003.
5. The Applicant wishes to apologize to the Examiner again for the error and would greatly appreciate it if the Examiner would implement the claims corrections. Claims 60-62 are being re-submitted without changes so the Examiner can see that the corresponding changes to claims 63-70 are simply that of using numbers in the dependent claims that correspond to the independent claims 60-62 that have already been allowed by the Examiner.

6. A petition to withdraw holding of abandonment is being sent to Commissioner For Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Mail Stop: Issue Fee, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. A copy of this amendment, the draftperson's 2 letters, the amended drawing and a copy of the certificate of mailing will be sent as well.

7. The Examiner should receive amendment G that is signed on March 11, 2004 but the previous amendment G was signed on September 22, 2003. A copy of the certificate of mailing for September 23, 2003 is enclosed and the first page of the original amendment G is enclosed as well.